## Abstract

Wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) act as secondary sources of microplastic pollution into the environment. Microplastics (MPs) come in various types, namely; filaments, fragments, rods, and beads. They range in size from 5 mm to 1  $\mu$ m. In this study, spectroscopic, chromatographic, and optical properties of biosolids from Deep East Texas were analyzed via transform infrared spectroscopy, ion Fourier chromatography (IC), and optical microscopy. Samples were taken from San Jacinto (SJWWTP), San Augustine (SAWWTP), Jasper (JWWTP), Lufkin (LWWTP), Nacogdoches (NWWTP), and the Neches Compost Facility (Soil Therapy Compost, STC). Using FT-IR spectral peaks at v(O-H) and v(N-H) (~3300 cm<sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup>),  $\nu$ (C-H) 2930 cm<sup>-1</sup>,  $\nu$ (C=O) 1677 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and  $\delta$ (Si-O-Si) 815 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Via IC analysis  $PO_4^{3-}$  concentrations were determined above USEPA drinking water regulations in all samples. Concentrations of F<sup>-</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, Br<sup>-</sup>,  $SO_4^{3-}$  were below USEPA drinking water regulations. Via optical microscopy of LWWTP sample, many types of MPs were identified. This study is useful because it adds to the emerging research on microplastic contamination from WWTPs in Deep East Texas

# **Objectives for the Study**

K-M

- Evaluate the presence of microplastics in biosolids from wastewater treatment plants in Deep East Texas.
- Analyze the efficiency of wastewater treatment plants from Deep East Texas in removing microplastics from biosolids.
- Use spectroscopy (FT-IR, SEM/EDX,) and ion chromatography (IC) to characterize microplastics in biosolids.



Figure 1: Unextracted Biosolid Samples

ICS-2100 ion chromatograph, a DionexIonPac AS19 analytical column (2 x 250 mm) thermostated at 30 °C, guard column (IonPac AG19), KOH eluent (ECG III KOH), flow rate of 0.25 mL/min, a suppressor column ASRS-2 mm, and operating pressure of 1200-2300 PSI.

# **Occurrence of Microplastics In Biosolids In Wastewater Treatment Plants in Deep East Texas**

### Kathryn Clevenger & Kefa Onchoke Department of Chemistry & Biochemistry, Stephen F. Austin State University, P.O. Box 13006, SFA Station, Nacogdoches, TX 75962-3006 Materials and Methods Results (IC) (cont'd) **Table 1:** Average and Standard Deviations of ions in WWTP Biosolid Samples 120 $|F'(mg/g)| = |Cl'(mg/g)| |NO_2'(mg/g)| Br'(mg/g)| |NO_3'(mg/g)| SO_4^{2-}(mg/g)| |PO_4^{3-}(mg/g)|$ pD <u>8</u> 100 $0.03 \pm 0.06$ $0.17 \pm 0.04$ $0.48 \pm 0.23$ $0.00 \pm 0.00$ $3.97 \pm 0.16$ $4.10 \pm 0.22$ $2.52 \pm 0.70$ STC Ö 80 $0.01 \pm 0.01$ $0.23 \pm 0.03$ $1.51 \pm 0.13$ $0.02 \pm 0.00$ $0.08 \pm 0.04$ $0.27 \pm 0.02$ $4.16 \pm 1.23$ JWWTP 60 $0.05 \pm 0.02$ $0.96 \pm 0.03$ $0.57 \pm 0.34$ $0.01 \pm 0.00$ $3.24 \pm 0.19$ $48.99 \pm 9.50$ $6.12 \pm 1.18$ LWWTP 40 $0.02 \pm 0.02$ $0.62 \pm 0.03$ $1.48 \pm 1.57$ $0.01 \pm 0.00$ $2.87 \pm 0.09$ $2.25 \pm 0.05$ $8.25 \pm 2.46$ NWWTP $0.01 \pm 0.00$ $0.04 \pm 0.01$ $0.50 \pm 0.09$ $0.00 \pm 0.00$ $0.06 \pm 0.03$ $0.52 \pm 0.14$ $2.62 \pm 2.72$ $0.01 \pm 0.01$ $0.48 \pm 0.01$ $1.27 \pm 0.77$ $0.00 \pm 0.00$ $0.05 \pm 0.03$ $0.54 \pm 0.02$ $7.77 \pm 1.36$ **Average Ions Present in each WWTP FT-IR** Fenton's Weigh Sample Reaction Sieve Evaporate STC JWWTP LWWTP NWWTP SAWWTP SJWWTP -2 Samples Samples Waste Water Treatment Plants ■ Fluoride ■ Chloride ■ Nitrite ■ Bromide ■ Nitrate ■ Sulfate ■ Phosphate **Results (FT-IR)** Figure 5: Average Ions Present in each WWTP (Soil Therapy Compost, Jasper, Lufkin, Nacogdoches, San Augustine, San Jacinto) 10 δ(Si-O-Si) 815 cm. ppm). Carbonyl Group **Results (Optical Microscopy)** v(C=O) 1677 cm<sup>-1</sup> Aliphatic —JWWTP Compounds v(C-H)—NWWTP ~2930 cm<sup>-1</sup> —SJWWTP **Optical Microscopy** —SAWWTP Figure 6: LWWTP the least common. 2300 1800 1300 2800 800 300 biosolid sample: $150 \,\mu m < x < 850 \,\mu m$ Wavenumber cm<sup>-1</sup> fragment Figure 7: LWWTP biosolid sample: $150 \,\mu m < x < 850 \,\mu m$ filament Figure 8: LWWTP biosolid sample: $150 \,\mu m < x < 850 \,\mu m$



Figure 2: FT-IR Overlay of unextracted biosolid samples from Jasper, Nacogdoches, San Augustine, and San Jacinto wastewater treatment plants (JWWTP, NWWTP, SAWWTP, and SJWWTP)

| Results (IC)   |         |
|--|---------|
| 2 - Chloride - 9067<br>5 - Nitratesulfate0020.808                  |         |
| - Fluoride - 5.358<br>4 - Bromide - 14.625<br>3 - Nitrite - 11.508 |         |
| 5.0 10.0me169.0m 20.0 25.0 30.0                                    |         |
|  |         |
|  | 4       |
|  |         |
|  |         |
| gure 3: Chromatograph showing retention times of anions (Dionex    | (as sel |

rod





# Results (Optical Microscopy) (cont'd)



v(O-H) (phenol/water/alcohol) or v(N-H) amine ~3300 cm<sup>-1</sup>. v(C-H) at 2930 cm<sup>-1</sup> aliphatic.

Carbonyl group v(C=O) at 1677 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Potential carboxylic acid and polypeptides.

Silicon  $\delta$ (Si-O-Si) at 815 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

Similar shape and peaks across samples. Same functional groups across samples.

•  $PO_4^{3-}$  had the highest concentration across samples. Above USEPA regulated concentrations for drinking water (0.015

•  $SO_4^{2-}$  and  $NO_3^{-}$  were high in the STC, LWWTP, and NWWTP samples.  $(SO_4^{2-} = 250 \text{ ppm}, NO_3^{-} = 10 \text{ ppm} (USEPA)).$ • F<sup>-</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, and Br<sup>-</sup> were low across the samples. Under

USEPA regulated concentrations.

• Filaments, rods, and fragments were the most common type of microplastic found in the LWWTP sample. Beads were

# References

. Kabir, S. M.; Bhuiyan, M. A.; Zhang, G.; Pramanik, B. K. Microplastic distribution and ecological risks: Investigating road dust and stormwater runoff across land uses. *Environmental Science: Advances* 2024, *3* (1), 62–75.

2. Kowalski, M.; Kowalska, K.; Wiszniowski, J.; Turek-Szytow, J. Qualitative analysis of activated sludge using FT-IR technique. Chemical Papers 2018, 72 (11), 2699–2706.

3. Onchoke, K. K.; Franclemont, C. M.; Weatherford, P. W. Data on ion composition and X-ray diffraction patterns of biosolids from wastewater treatment plants in Lufkin and Nacogdoches, Texas, USA. Data in Brief 2018, 20, 880–888.

4. Sun et al. Microplastics in wastewater treatment plants: Detection, occurrence and removal. Water Research 2019, 152, 21-37.

# Acknowledgments

 Funding supported by the College of Sciences and Mathematics as a part of the Summer **Undergraduate Research Experience at Stephen F.** Austin State University.

• SFA Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry • Welch Foundation (Grant # AN-0008) • CARRI Energy Scholars 1

• Jake Swallow